



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 DEPT. OF COMMERCE
 DIVISION

In re Applicant:

Peter ASSAF et al

Serial No.: 10/555,664

Filed: November 4, 2005

For: Nitric Oxide Donors And Uses Thereof

 §
 §
 §
 §
 §
 §
 §
 §
 §
 §

Attorney

Docket: 30724

2007 JAN 22 PM 4:29

 Commissioner for Patents
 PO Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
SECOND REQUEST FOR REFUND

Sir:

On November 17, 2006, Applicant filed a Request for Refund due to several overcharges to his Deposit Account 501407, all including Large Entity status despite Applicant having claimed benefit of Small Entity Status.

On January 4, 2007, several credits and debits were made to Applicant's Deposit Account that addressed the Large Entity Status matter but did not take into account the other incorrect charges, namely:

1. The sum of \$4,450 for Fee Code 2615. This application has a total of 75 claims, (not 198 as indicated on the Filing Receipt) none of which are of a multiple dependency type. The correct quantity for Fee Code 2615 is for 55 claims (in excess of 20) at \$25 per claim. This is a total of \$1,375 as authorized by Applicant. A refund of \$3,075 is in order.

2. The sum of \$375 for Fee Code 2681, which represents between 200-250 pages. This application contains less than 200 pages. The correct charge for Fee Code 2681 should be \$250, as authorized by Applicant. A refund of \$125 is deemed to be in order.

A Total Refund of \$3,200 to Deposit Account 501407 is therefore deemed to be in order.

Respectfully submitted,

Martin D. Moynihan

Registration No. 40,338

January 18, 2007